

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/641,667	FOX, JOHN S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Cheu	1641	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Jacob Cheu.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Fuess.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1/26/2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Clarification of claims overcome 35 USC 112, second paragraph; see below

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: 1, 103-109, 117

Applicant agreed to clarify the claim language, particularly for the saturation of molecule complex for hysteresis loop in response to magnetic field. (See claims 106-109) .